

To: Sharon Budney[BudneySL@cdm.com]; kirchnersf@cdm.com[kirchnersf@cdm.com]; Vaughn, Stephanie[Vaughn.Stephania@epa.gov]
Cc: Willard Potter[otto@demaximis.com]
From: Robert Law
Sent: Wed 8/28/2013 6:08:11 PM
Subject: Fwd: RE: 2013 CPG CWCM HighFlow data - Date Issues

Let us know if this resolves the issue.

>>> "Herberich, Jim" <Jim.Herberich@aecom.com> 8/28/2013 1:35 PM >>>

Revised R2EDD for Newark Bay is on the EPA SharePoint site in the CPG Implementation library, Data folder:

<http://passaic.sharepointspace.com/members/CPG%20Implementation/Data/20130828-CWCM-HF1-NB-R2EDD.zip>

Jim.

From: Herberich, Jim
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2013 11:33 AM
To: 'Robert Law'; Durocher, Kristen
Subject: RE: 2013 CPG CWCM HighFlow data - Date Issues

Rob,

We have identified an error in the sample date for 2 samples

N04-CE11-TNBS-AS 2013/03/13 10:00:00

N04-CE11-TNBS-BS 2013/03/08 12:00:00

Both were collected on 2/27/2013, at the time as currently listed. The sample date for these 2 will be fixed.

The discrepancies mentioned for lab QC samples may be due to interpretation by Access (and/or Excel) of an empty string as different than a NULL. The EDD loading software correctly handles empty strings, however, in order to allow Access to correctly treat absence of a prep date when comparing to sample date (with no other filters to test for good prep date), we will force these to be NULL.

We will post an amended R2EDD for the Newark Bay portion of the High Flow data, this afternoon.

Jim Herberich

Environment

jim.herberich@aecom.com

D 978-905-2243 M 978-302-0948

AECOM

250 Apollo Drive, Chelmsford, MA 01824

T 978.905.2100 F 978.905.2101

www.aecom.com

From: Robert Law [<mailto:rlaw@demaximis.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2013 2:01 PM

To: Herberich, Jim; Durocher, Kristen

Subject: Fwd: 2013 CPG CWCM HighFlow data - Date Issues

>>> "Vaughn, Stephanie" <Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov> 8/27/2013 1:21 PM >>>

Hi Rob,

While uploading the CPG CWCM High Flow data CDM Smith encountered an error where the preparation date pre-dated the sampling date. Some of the discrepancies are associated with laboratory QC but others are associated with samples. Please provide the correct sampling dates for the samples identified in the attached excel table.

Thanks,

Stephanie